

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/695,742	Applicant(s) KINME ET AL.
	Examiner NAHID AMIRI	Art Unit 3679

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

(1) NAHID AMIRI. (3) _____.

(2) Obert Chu. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 September 2008

Time: 3:30 P.M.

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed the Applicants that there was an error in the Office action mailed out on 6 March 2008 causing some confusion as to whether or not the action was Non-Final or Final. The previous Office action should have been clearly indicated to be a Non-Final Rejection instead of implying that it was a Final Rejection. Accordingly, for clarification purposes, the Rejection of 6 March 2008 is clearly stated herein to be a Non-Final Rejection. Applicants' response filed 6 June 2008 has been entered.